Access Arrangements Policy

Policy/Procedure creator: Rachel Andrew

Policy/Procedure created/reviewed: 03/05/2023

Centre Name	St Luke's School
Centre Number	17550
Date policy first created	• 31 Oct 2022
Current policy reviewed by	• Jamie Caple
Current policy approved by	• Jamie Caple
Date of next review	• 31 Oct 2023

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Exams officer	Naj Chadli
ALS lead/SENCo	Rachel Andrew
Senior leader(s)	Amy Tallantire
Head of centre	Jamie Caple
Other staff (if applicable)	Not Applicable

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that access arrangements process at St Luke's School is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

References in this policy to GR, ICE and AA refer to the JCQ publications General Regulations for Approved Centres, Instructions for conducting examinations and Acesss Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments.

References to legislation are to the Equality Act 2010. Separate legislation is in place for Northern Ireland (see AA 1.8). The definitions and procedures in AA relating to access arrangements and reasonable adjustments will also apply in Northern Ireland.

Introduction

(AA Definitions)

Access arrangements

Access arrangements are agreed **before** an assessment. They allow candidates with **specific needs**, such as special educational needs, disabilities or temporary injuries to access the assessment and show what they know and can do without changing the demands of the assessment. The intention behind an access arrangement is to meet the particular needs of an individual candidate without affecting the integrity of the assessment. Access arrangements are the principal way in which awarding bodies comply with the duty under the Equality Act 2010 to make 'reasonable adjustments'.

Reasonable adjustments

The Equality Act 2010 requires an awarding body to make reasonable adjustments where a candidate, who is disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act 2010, would be at a **substantial disadvantage** in comparison to someone who is not disabled. The awarding body is required to take reasonable steps to overcome that disadvantage. An example would be a Braille paper which would be a reasonable adjustment for a vision impaired candidate who could read Braille. A reasonable adjustment may be unique to that individual and may not be included in the list of available access arrangements. Whether an adjustment will be considered reasonable will depend on several factors which will include, but are not limited to:

- the needs of the disabled candidate;
- the effectiveness of the adjustment;
- the cost of the adjustment; and
- the likely impact of the adjustment upon the candidate and other candidates.

An adjustment will not be approved if it:

- involves unreasonable costs to the awarding body;
- involves unreasonable timeframes; or
- affects the security and integrity of the assessment.

This is because the adjustment is not 'reasonable'.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm that St Luke's School has a written record which clearly shows the centre is leading on the access arrangements process and:

- is complying with its obligation to identify the need for, request and implement access arrangements (GR 5.4)
- has a written process in place to not only check the qualification(s) of its assessor(s) but that the correct procedures are followed as per Chapter 7 of the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments (GR 5.4)

1. General principles

The principles for St Luke's School to consider include:

- The purpose of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment is to ensure, where possible, that barriers to assessment are removed for a disabled candidate preventing him/her from being placed at a substantial disadvantage due to persistent and significant difficulties. The integrity of the assessment is maintained, whilst at the same time providing access to assessments for a disabled candidate (AA4.2.1)
- The person who leads on additional learning support/special educational needs, must ensure that the proposed access arrangement/reasonable adjustment does not unfairly disadvantage or advantage the candidate (AA 4.2.1)
- Although access arrangements are intended to allow access to assessments, they cannot be granted where they will compromise the assessment objectives of the specification in question (AA 4.2.2)

- Candidates may not require the same access arrangements/reasonable adjustments in each specification. Subjects and their methods of assessments may vary, leading to different demands of the candidate. The need for access arrangements/reasonable adjustments must be considered on a subject-by-subject basis (AA4.2.3)
- Access arrangements/reasonable adjustments should be processed at the start of the course (AA 4.2.4)
- Arrangements must always be approved before an examination or assessment (AA 4.2.4)
- The arrangement(s) put in place must reflect the support given to the candidate in the centre (AA 4.2.5)
- The candidate must have had appropriate opportunities to practise using the access arrangement(s)/reasonable adjustments before their first examination (AA 4.2.7)

The main elements of the process detailing staff roles and responsibilities in identifying the need for, requesting and implementing access arrangements/reasonable adjustments and the conduct of examinations are covered in • Access Arrangements (AA).

2. The assessment process

At St Luke's School , assessments are carried out by:

• Not Applicable

Details and qualification(s) of the current assessor(s)

Appointment of assessors of candidates with learning difficulties

At the point an assessor is engaged/employed at St Luke's School :

- Not Applicable
- Not Applicable
- Not Applicable

Additional information:

- All candidates at St Luke's have an Educational Health Care Plan or EHCP. Following guidance, a statement of need and normal way of working is written on Centre Headed Paper by the SENco for each candidate, and is filed in individual folders with the EHCP as a supporting document.
- St Luke's is not required to have an assessor due to the nature of our candidates. If a candidate has an EHCP form 8 is not required.

Reporting the appointment of the assessor(s)

• Not Applicable

When requested, the evidence will be presented to the JCQ Centre Inspector by

- Head of Centre
 - Not Applicable
 - Not Applicable

Process for the assessment of a candidate's learning difficulties by an assessor

St Luke's School confirms:

- Not Applicable
- Not Applicable
- Not Applicable
- Not Applicable
- A privately commissioned assessment carried out without prior consultation with the centre cannot be used to award access arrangements and cannot be used to process an application using **Access arrangements online**. (AA7.3.6)
- Relevant staff working within the centre should always carefully consider any privately commissioned assessment to see whether the process of gathering a picture of need, demonstrating normal way of working within the centre and ultimately assessing the candidate themselves should be instigated (AA7.3.6)

Additional information:

Not applicable

Picture of need/normal way of working

St Luke's School confirms

- Not Applicable
- Not Applicable
- Additional information:

Not Applicable

3. Processing access arrangements and adjustments

Arrangements/adjustments requiring awarding body approval

Access arrangements online (AAO) is used to apply for approval of arrangements/adjustments for the qualifications listed within the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments.

AAO is accessed through the JCQ Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by logging in to one of the awarding body secure extranet sites. A single application for approval is required for each candidate regardless of the awarding body used.

Deadlines apply for each examination series for submitting applications for approval using AAO.

Centre delegated arrangements/adjustments

Decisions relating to the approval of centre delegated arrangements/adjustments are made by • Rachel Andrew SENCo . Appropriate evidence, where required by the arrangement, is held on file by • Rachel Andrew SENCo .

• The use of a word processor

The • Word Processor Policy (Exams) details the criteria St Luke's School specifically uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations and assessments.

• Separate invigilation within the centre

The • Separate Invigilation Policy details the criteria St Luke's School uses to award separate invigilation within the centre.

Additional information:

• Not applicable

Modified papers

Modified papers are ordered using AAO.

- Modified papers must be ordered in advance of a specific examination series, no later than the published deadline for the series concerned (AA 6.1)
- Modified papers are individually prepared for candidates for whom other adjustments are unsuitable. The modification of papers involves additional
 resources. Therefore centres are required to provide the awarding bodies with early notification that a candidate will require a modified paper. (AA
 6.1)
- Modified papers must not be ordered for candidates unless the centre intends to enter them for the relevant examination series (AA 6.1)
- For the adjustment to be effective, the candidate must have had appropriate opportunities to practise using an awarding body's past modified papers before his/her first examination (AA 6.1)

Roles and responsibilities

When an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment has been processed on-line and approved, the evidence of need (where required) must be made available to a JCQ Centre Inspector upon request. An awarding body may also request evidence of need when considered necessary. This can either be in hard copy paper format or electronically. (AA 4.2.13)

Where documentation is stored electronically an e-folder for each individual candidate must be created. The candidate's e-folder must hold each of the required documents for inspection. (AA 4.2.13)

It is the responsibility of:

- • Exams Officer to collect a candidate's consent (a completed candidate **Personal data consent form**) to record their personal data on-line through AAO
- • Exams Officer to complete the **Data protection confirmation by the examinations officer or SENCo**, prior to the processing of the online application, which must be retained for 26 months from the date of the online application being approved
- Rachel Andrew SENCo to submit applications for approval using AAO
- Rachel Andrew SENCo with the assistance of the class Teacher to hold the file/e-folder for each individual candidate containing a copy of the candidate's approved application, appropriate evidence of need (where required) and a signed candidate personal data consent form (AA 8.6)
- • Rachel Andrew SENCo to submit applications for approval directly to an awarding body for any qualification that does not fall within the scope of AAO
- The SENCo and Exams Officer to order modified papers

Additional responsibilities:

• Not applicable

CHANGES 2022/2023

(Amended bullet point) Under **Appointment of assessors of candidates with learning difficulties** - A photocopy of the assessor's certificate(s) (or a printout of screenshot of HCPC or SASC registration) is kept on file (AA 7.3.1) (to include additional detail) A photocopy of the assessor's certificate(s) (or a printout of screenshot of HCPC or SASC registration, or screenshot of other relevant qualification listing) is kept on file (AA 7.3.1, 7.4.1)

(Changed heading) Painting a 'holistic picture of need' confirming normal way of working (To) Picture of need/normal way of working

(Changed) Under **Centre delegated arrangements** - details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors in examinations/assessments (To) details the criteria the centre **specifically** uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations and assessments

(Added bullet point) Under Roles and responsibilities (to add who will) complete the Data protection confirmation by the examinations officer or SENCo, prior to the processing of the online application, which must be retained for 26 months from the date of the online application being approved

CENTRE-SPECIFIC CHANGES

• Upon review in October 2022, no centre-specific updates or changes were applicable to this document.